CARLESCATE DESCRIPTION OF CASE NOT ACCURATE; WIFE ANSIGH-2 OPINED ALONSO 24 SEPTEMBER SHE ASSESSIENT ANCALL-1. IN LETTER O LANCE CASE ANCALL-1 BUT MADE NO MENTION OF MONTERO CASE. SUBSEQUENTLY ANSIGH-2 VIFE TOLD VIFE ANCALL-1 THAT \$26,628 NACE AND THE WOULD THE ANCALL-1 CASE ANCALL-1 THAT \$26,628 NACE AND THE WOULD AND ANCALL-1 CASE ANCALL-1 THAT \$26,628 NACE AND THE WOULD AND ANCALL-1 CASE ANCALL-1 THAT \$26,628 NACE AND THE WOULD TAKE CASE OF ANCALL-1 AND THAT THE \$25,638 NACE AND THE WOULD TAKE CASE OF ANCALL-1 AND THAT THE \$25,638 NACE AND THE WOULD TAKE CASE OF ANCALL-1 AND THAT THE \$25,638 NACE ANCALL-1 WIFE WAS LED BELIEVE RYROCK ASSISTANCE COULD BE EXPECTED. 2. RE NECESSITY OF CLEARING NAMES PRIOR FORWARDING 1/66441	k	The state of the s						
O CONTROL OF THE PARTY OF THE P								
O STORY OF THE BOOK OF THE WAR TO THE BOOK OF THE WAR TO THE BOOK OF THE BOOK	0	PERSONALITACIONE						
Comparison of the solution of montero case. O MACALL-1 AND RICARDO MONTERO DUQUE. ACCORDING ANSIGH-2 WIFE, ALONSO REPLIED IN DUE COURSE THAT HE AGREED ACCEPT O ANORY TO THE NO SEC OF THE SOLUTION OF MACALL REFS: A. DIRECTOR 46368 O B. WHYMIAMI 5266 (h 50538) O DEVELOPMENTS AXCALL-1 CASE. REF B STATEMENT AMSIGH-2 DEVELOPMENTS AXCALL-1 CASE. REF B STATEMENT AMSIGH-2 WOULD NOT TAKE CASE DUE HER ASSESSMENT AXCALL-1. IN LETTER O TO ALONSO 24 SEPTEMBER SHE ASKED IF HE WOULD TAKE CASES OF AMCALL-1 AND RICARDO MONTERO DUQUE. ACCORDING AMSIGH-2 WIFE, ALONSO REPLIED IN DUE COURSE THAT HE AGREED ACCEPT O CASE AMCALL-1 BUT MADE NO MENTION OF MONTERO CASE. SUBSEQUENTLY AMSIGH-2 WIFE TOLD WIFE AXCALL-1 THAT \$28,822 HAD BEEN OFFERED FOR AMCALL-1 AND THAT THE \$2565 EXPENSE O MONEY FOR ALONSO MUST BE FORWARDED IMMEDIATELY. ON BASIS OREF C AMCALL-1 WIFE WAS LED BELIEVE RVROCK ASSISTANCE COULD BE EXPECTED. 2. RE NECESSITY OF CLEARING NAMES PRIOR FORWARDING // GALL/1		[Vinen Filled In] Notes or or of 2 7						
CHAPT TO THE NO CONTROL OF CASE OF CITE WHYNIAMI 5502 O DIRECTOR INFO MADRID REFS: A. DIRECTOR 46368 B. WHYNIAMI 5266 (550538) C. UFAY-531 1. ANSIGH-2 AND WIFE HAVE KEPT BINIARIS ABREAST O DEVELOPMENTS AXCALL-1 CASE. REF B STATEMENT AMSIGH-2 WOULD NOT TAKE CASE DUE HER ASSESSIENT ASCALL-1. IN LETTER TO ALONSO 24 SEPTEMBER SHE ASKED IF HE WOULD TAKE CASES OF AMCALL-1 AND RICARDO MONTERO DUQUE. ACCORDING ANSIGH-2 WIFE, ALONSO REPLIED IN DUE COURSE THAT HE AGREED ACCEPT CASE ANCALL-1 BUT MADE NO MENTION OF MONTERO CASE. SUBSEQUENTLY ANSIGH-2 WIFE TOLD WIFE ANCALL-1 THAT \$28,828 HAD BEEN OFFERED FOR ANCALL-1 AND THAT THE \$2583 EXPENSE MONEY FOR ALONSO MUST BE FORWARDED IMMEDIATELY. ON BASIS REF C ANCALL-1 WIFE WAS LED BELIEVE RYROCK ASSISTANCE COULD BE EXPECTED. 2. RE NECESSITY OF CLEARING NAMES PRIOR FORWARDING // BALLY	0	ADVANCE COPY ISSUED SLOTTED REPREDUCTION OF THIS COPY PROH B TED						
O SANTO DE LA CONTINUE DE LE CONTINUE DE LA CORDING ANSIGN-2 O SEC RE 1 161343Z OCT 69 CITE VN/MIANI 5582 O DIRECTOR INFO MADRID SEC RE 1 161343Z OCT 69 CITE VN/MIANI 5582 O DIRECTOR INFO MADRID REFS: A. DIRECTOR 46368 O B. WN/MIANI 5266 (550538) O C. UFAY-531 1. AMSIGH-2 AND WIFE HAVE KEPT BINIARIS ABREAST O DEVELOPMENTS AXCALL-1 CASE. REF B STATEMENT AMSIGN-2 O REJECTION OF CASE NOT ACCURATE; WIFE AMSIGH-2 OPINED ALDISO WOULD NOT TAKE CASE DUE HER ASSESSMENT AXCALL-1. IN LETTER O TO ALONSO 24 SEPTEMBER SHE ASKED IF HE WOULD TAKE CASES OF AMCALL-1 AND RICARDO MONTERO DUQUE. ACCORDING AMSIGH-2 WIFE, ALONSO REPLIED IN DUE COURSE THAT HE AGREED ACCEPT O CASE AMCALL-1 BUT MADE NO MENTION OF MONTERO CASE. SUBSEQUENTLY AMSIGH-2 WIFE TOLD WIFE AXCALL-1 THAT \$28,828 HAD BEEN OFFERED FOR AMCALL-1 AND THAT THE \$2583 EXPENSE O MONEY FOR ALONSO MUST BE FORWARDED IMMEDIATELY. ON BASIS O REF C AMCALL-1 WIFE WAS LED BELIEVE RVROCK ASSISTANCE COULD BE EXPECTED.	;;::	(31 '0'						
Child Bridgery Child College S. C.1. CF12 STO STO SECRET 161343Z OCT 69 CITE VHYNIAMI 5582 DIRECTOR INFO MADRID RYBAT TYPIC AMABDUCT AMCALL REFS: A. DIRECTOR 46362 B. WHYMIAMI 5266 50538 C. UFAW-581 1. AMSIGH-2 AND WIFE HAVE KEPT BINIARIS ABREAST DEVELOPMENTS AXCALL-1 CASE. REF B STATEMENT AMSIGH-2 WOULD NOT TAKE CASE DUE HER ASSESSMENT AXCALL-1. IN LETTER WOULD NOT TAKE CASE DUE HER ASSESSMENT AXCALL-1. IN LETTER O TO ALONSO 24 SEPTEMBER SHE ASKED IF HE WOULD TAKE CASES OF AMCALL-1 AND RICARDO MONTERO DUQUE. ACCORDING AMSIGH-2 WIFE, ALONSO REPLIED IN DUE COURSE THAT HE AGREED ACCEPT CASE AMCALL-1 BUT MADE NO MENTION OF MONTERO CASE. SUBSEQUENTLY AMSIGH-2 WIFE TOLD WIFE AMCALL-1 THAT \$26,628 HAD BEEN OFFERED FOR AMCALL-1 AND THAT THE \$2563 EXPENSE MONEY FOR ALONSO MUST BE FORWARDED INMEDIATELY. ON BASIS REF C AMCALL-1 WIFE WAS LED BELIEVE RYROCK ASSISTANCE COULD BE EXPECTED.	0	AT						
SECRET 161343Z OCT 69 CITE VH/MIAMI 5582 DIRECTOR INFO MADRID RYBAT TYPIC AMABDUCT AMCALL REFS: A. DIRECTOR 46368 B. WH/MIAMI 5266 (550538) C. UFAW-531 1. AMSIGH-2 AND WIFE HAVE KEPT BINIARIS ABREAST DEVELOPMENTS AXCALL-1 CASE. REF B STATEMENT AMSIGH-2 WOULD NOT TAKE CASE DUE HER ASSESSMENT AMCALL-1. IN LETTER WOULD NOT TAKE CASE DUE HER ASSESSMENT AMCALL-1. IN LETTER TO ALONSO 24 SEPTEMBER SHE ASKED IF HE WOULD TAKE CASES OF AMCALL-1 AND RICARDO MONTERO DUQUE. ACCORDING AMSIGH-2 WIFE, ALONSO REPLIED IN DUE COURSE THAT HE AGREED ACCEPT CASE AMCALL-1 BUT MADE NO MENTION OF MONTERO CASE. SUBSEQUENTLY AMSIGH-2 WIFE TOLD WIFE AMCALL-1 THAT \$20,020 HAD BEEN OFFERED FOR AMCALL-1 AND THAT THE \$2508 EXPENSE MONEY FOR ALONSO MUST BE FORWARDED IMMEDIATELY. ON BASIS REF C AMCALL-1 WIFE WAS LED BELIEVE RYROCK ASSISTANCE COULD BE EXPECTED.	0							
O DIRECTOR INFO MADRID O RYBAT TYPIC AMABDUCT AMCALL REFS: A. DIRECTOR 46368 D. WWMIAMI 5266 650538 O C. UFAW-531 1. AMSIGH-2 AND WIFE HAVE KEPT BINIARIS ABREAST O DEVELOPMENTS AXCALL-1 CASE. REF B STATEMENT AMSIGH-2 OCT 281969 DOC MICRO. SER. WOULD NOT TAKE CASE DUE HER ASSESSMENT AMCALL-1. IN LETTER O TO ALONSO 24 SEPTEMBER SHE ASKED IF HE WOULD TAKE CASES OF AMCALL-1 AND RICARDO MONTERO DUQUE. ACCORDING AMSIGH-2 WIFE, ALONSO REPLIED IN DUE COURSE THAT HE AGREED ACCEPT O CASE AMCALL-1 BUT MADE NO MENTION OF MONTERO CASE. O SUBSEQUENTLY AMSIGH-2 WIFE TOLD WIFE AMCALL-1 THAT \$28,822 HAD BEEN OFFERED FOR AMCALL-1 AND THAT THE \$2563 EXPENSE O MONEY FOR ALONSO MUST BE FORWARDED IMMEDIATELY. ON BASIS REF C AMCALL-1 WIFE WAS LED BELIEVE RVROCK ASSISTANCE COULD BE EXPECTED. 2. RE NECESSITY OF CLEARING NAMES PRIOR FORWARDING 160414	Ŭ,	I RID COPY // I						
O DIRECTOR INFO MADRID O RYBAT TYPIC AMABDUCT AMCALL REFS: A. DIRECTOR 46368 D. WWMIAMI 5266 650538 O C. UFAW-531 1. AMSIGH-2 AND WIFE HAVE KEPT BINIARIS ABREAST O DEVELOPMENTS AXCALL-1 CASE. REF B STATEMENT AMSIGH-2 OCT 281969 DOC MICRO. SER. WOULD NOT TAKE CASE DUE HER ASSESSMENT AMCALL-1. IN LETTER O TO ALONSO 24 SEPTEMBER SHE ASKED IF HE WOULD TAKE CASES OF AMCALL-1 AND RICARDO MONTERO DUQUE. ACCORDING AMSIGH-2 WIFE, ALONSO REPLIED IN DUE COURSE THAT HE AGREED ACCEPT O CASE AMCALL-1 BUT MADE NO MENTION OF MONTERO CASE. O SUBSEQUENTLY AMSIGH-2 WIFE TOLD WIFE AMCALL-1 THAT \$28,822 HAD BEEN OFFERED FOR AMCALL-1 AND THAT THE \$2563 EXPENSE O MONEY FOR ALONSO MUST BE FORWARDED IMMEDIATELY. ON BASIS REF C AMCALL-1 WIFE WAS LED BELIEVE RVROCK ASSISTANCE COULD BE EXPECTED. 2. RE NECESSITY OF CLEARING NAMES PRIOR FORWARDING 160414	0	370						
RYBAT TYPIC AMABDUCT AMCALL REFS: A. DIRECTOR 46368 B. WHYMIAMI 5266 (5505) 8 C. UFAW-531 1. AMSIGH-2 AND WIFE HAVE KEPT BINIARIS ABREAST O DEVELOPMENTS AXCALL-1 CASE. REF B STATEMENT AMSIGH-2 OCT 281969 DOC MICRO. SER. WOULD NOT TAKE CASE DUE HER ASSESSMENT AMCALL-1. IN LETTER O TO ALONSO 24 SEPTEMBER SHE ASKED IF HE WOULD TAKE CASES OF AMCALL-1 AND RICARDO MONTERO DUQUE. ACCORDING AMSIGH-2 WIFE, ALONSO REPLIED IN DUE COURSE THAT HE AGREED ACCEPT O CASE AMCALL-1 BUT MADE NO MENTION OF MONTERO CASE. O SUBSEQUENTLY AMSIGH-2 WIFE TOLD WIFE AXCALL-1 THAT \$28,828 HAD BEEN OFFERED FOR AMCALL-1 AND THAT THE \$2563 EXPENSE O MONEY FOR ALONSO MUST BE FORWARDED IMMEDIATELY. ON BASIS O REF C AMCALL-1 WIFE WAS LED BELIEVE RYROCK ASSISTANCE COULD BE EXPECTED. O 2. RE NECESSITY OF CLEARING NAMES PRIOR FORWARDING / 1604/19		The state of the s						
REFS: A. DIRECTOR 46368 B. WHYMIAMI 5266 (1505) 8 C. UFAW-531 1. AMSIGH-2 AND WIFE HAVE KEPT BINIARIS ABREAST O DEVELOPMENTS AXCALL-1 CASE. REF B STATEMENT AMSIGH-2 OCT 281969 OC MICRO. SER. WOULD NOT TAKE CASE DUE HER ASSESSMENT AXCALL-1. IN LETTER O TO ALONSO 24 SEPTEMBER SHE ASKED IF HE WOULD TAKE CASES OF AMCALL-1 AND RICARDO MONTERO DUQUE. ACCORDING AMSIGH-2 WIFE, ALONSO REPLIED IN DUE COURSE THAT HE AGREED ACCEPT CASE AMCALL-1 BUT MADE NO MENTION OF MONTERO CASE. O SUBSEQUENTLY AMSIGH-2 WIFE TOLD WIFE AXCALL-1 THAT \$20,022 HAD BEEN OFFERED FOR AMCALL-1 AND THAT THE \$2563 EXPENSE O MONEY FOR ALONSO MUST BE FORWARDED IMMEDIATELY. ON BASIS O REF C AMCALL-1 WIFE WAS LED BELIEVE RVROCK ASSISTANCE COULD BE EXPECTED. O 2. RE NECESSITY OF CLEARING NAMES PRIOR FORWARDING // OCAL 1	0	DIRECTOR INFO MADRID						
REFS: A. DIRECTOR 46368 B. WH/MIAMI 5266 150538 C. UFAW-531 1. AMSIGH-2 AND WIFE HAVE KEPT BINIARIS ABREAST O DEVELOPMENTS ANCALL-1 CASE. REF B STATEMENT AMSIGH-2 OCT 28 1969 DOC MICRO. SER. O WOULD NOT TAKE CASE DUE HER ASSESSMENT ANCALL-1. IN LETTER O TO ALONSO 24 SEPTEMBER SHE ASKED IF HE WOULD TAKE CASES OF AMCALL-1 AND RICARDO MONTERO DUQUE. ACCORDING AMSIGH-2 WIFE, ALONSO REPLIED IN DUE COURSE THAT HE AGREED ACCEPT O CASE ANCALL-1 BUT MADE NO MENTION OF MONTERO CASE. O SUBSEQUENTLY AMSIGH-2 WIFE TOLD WIFE ANCALL-1 THAT \$20,000 NAD BEEN OFFERED FOR ANCALL-1 AND THAT THE \$2500 EXPENSE O MONEY FOR ALONSO MUST BE FORWARDED IMMEDIATELY. ON BASIS O REF C ANCALL-1 WIFE WAS LED BELIEVE RUROCK ASSISTANCE COULD BE EXPECTED. O 2. RE NECESSITY OF CLEARING NAMES PRIOR FORWARDING 1600419	0	RYBAT TYPIC ANABOUCT ANCALL SI where of						
O C. UFAW-531 1. AMSIGH-2 AND WIFE HAVE KEPT BINIARIS ABREAST O DEVELOPMENTS ANCALL-1 CASE. REF B STATEMENT AMSIGH-2 OCT 281969 OCC MICRO. SER. OCT 281969 OCC MICRO. SER. OC	U	REFS: A. DIRECTOR 46360						
O C. UFAW-531 1. AMSIGH-2 AND WIFE HAVE KEPT BINIARIS ABREAST O DEVELOPMENTS ANCALL-1 CASE. REF B STATEMENT AMSIGH-2 OCT 281969 OCC MICRO. SER. OCT 281969 OCC MICRO. SER. OC	0	B. WH/STANI 5266 (450538) B. WH/C/DP						
1. AMSIGH-2 AND WIFE HAVE KEPT BINIARIS ABREAST O DEVELOPMENTS AXCALL-1 CASE. REF B STATEMENT AMSIGH-2 OCT 281969 DOC MICRO. SER. WOULD NOT TAKE CASE DUE HER ASSESSMENT AXCALL-1. IN LETTER O TO ALONSO 24 SEPTEMBER SHE ASKED IF HE WOULD TAKE CASES OF AMCALL-1 AND RICARDO MONTERO DUQUE. ACCORDING AMSIGH-2 WIFE, ALONSO REPLIED IN DUE COURSE THAT HE AGREED ACCEPT CASE AMCALL-1 BUT MADE NO MENTION OF MONTERO CASE. SUBSEQUENTLY AMSIGH-2 WIFE TOLD WIFE AMCALL-1 THAT \$20,020 HAD BEEN OFFERED FOR AMCALL-1 AND THAT THE \$2563 EXPENSE MONEY FOR ALONSO MUST BE FORWARDED IMMEDIATELY. ON BASIS REF C AMCALL-1 WIFE WAS LED BELIEVE RVROCK ASSISTANCE COULD BE EXPECTED. 2. RE NECESSITY OF CLEARING NAMES PRIOR FORWARDING 16000000000000000000000000000000000000								
DEVELOPMENTS ANCALL-1 CASE. REF B STATEMENT AMSIGH-2 REJECTION OF CASE NOT ACCURATE; WIFE AMSIGH-2 OPINED ALONSO WOULD NOT TAKE CASE DUE HER ASSESSMENT AMCALL-1. IN LETTER TO ALONSO 24 SEPTEMBER SHE ASKED IF HE WOULD TAKE CASES OF AMCALL-1 AND RICARDO MONTERO DUQUE. ACCORDING AMSIGH-2 WIFE, ALONSO REPLIED IN DUE COURSE THAT HE AGREED ACCEPT CASE AMCALL-1 BUT MADE NO MENTION OF MONTERO CASE. SUBSEQUENTLY AMSIGH-2 WIFE TOLD WIFE AMCALL-1 THAT \$20,020 HAD BEEN OFFERED FOR AMCALL-1 AND THAT THE \$2563 EXPENSE MONEY FOR ALONSO MUST BE FORWARDED IMMEDIATELY. ON BASIS REF C AMCALL-1 WIFE WAS LED BELIEVE RUROCK ASSISTANCE COULD BE EXPECTED. 2. RE NECESSITY OF CLEARING NAMES PRIOR FORWARDING // OCCUPA	0							
REJECTION OF CASE NOT ACCURATE; WIFE AMSIGH-2 OPINED ALONSO WOULD NOT TAKE CASE DUE HER ASSESSMENT AMCALL-1. IN LETTER TO ALONSO 24 SEPTEMBER SHE ASKED IF HE WOULD TAKE CASES OF AMCALL-1 AND RICARDO MONTERO DUQUE. ACCORDING AMSIGH-2 WIFE, ALONSO REPLIED IN DUE COURSE THAT HE AGREED ACCEPT CASE AMCALL-1 BUT MADE NO MENTION OF MONTERO CASE. SUBSEQUENTLY AMSIGH-2 WIFE TOLD WIFE AMCALL-1 THAT \$20,000 HAD BEEN OFFERED FOR AMCALL-1 AND THAT THE \$2500 EXPENSE MONEY FOR ALONSO MUST BE FORWARDED IMMEDIATELY. ON BASIS REF C AMCALL-1 WIFE WAS LED BELIEVE RYROCK ASSISTANCE COULD BE EXPECTED. 2. RE NECESSITY OF CLEARING NAMES PRIOR FORWARDING // OCCUPA	0	007 0 0000						
WOULD NOT TAKE CASE DUE HER ASSESSMENT ANCALL-1. IN LETTER TO ALONSO 24 SEPTEMBER SHE ASKED IF HE WOULD TAKE CASES OF AMCALL-1 AND RICARDO MONTERO DUQUE. ACCORDING AMSIGH-2 WIFE, ALONSO REPLIED IN DUE COURSE THAT HE AGREED ACCEPT CASE AMCALL-1 BUT MADE NO MENTION OF MONTERO CASE. SUBSEQUENTLY AMSIGH-2 WIFE TOLD WIFE ANCALL-1 THAT \$20,000 HAD BEEN OFFERED FOR AMCALL-1 AND THAT THE \$2500 EXPENSE MONEY FOR ALONSO MUST BE FORWARDED IMMEDIATELY. ON BASIS REF C AMCALL-1 WIFE WAS LED BELIEVE RVROCK ASSISTANCE COULD BE EXPECTED. 2. RE NECESSITY OF CLEARING NAMES PRIOR FORWARDING /600049								
O TO ALONSO 24 SEPTEMBER SHE ASKED IF HE WOULD TAKE CASES OF AMCALL-1 AND RICARDO MONTERO DUQUE. ACCORDING AMSIGH-2 WIFE, ALONSO REPLIED IN DUE COURSE THAT HE AGREED ACCEPT CASE AMCALL-1 BUT MADE NO MENTION OF MONTERO CASE. SUBSEQUENTLY AMSIGH-2 WIFE TOLD WIFE AMCALL-1 THAT \$20,020 HAD BEEN OFFERED FOR AMCALL-1 AND THAT THE \$2563 EXPENSE MONEY FOR ALONSO MUST BE FORWARDED IMMEDIATELY. ON BASIS REF C AMCALL-1 WIFE WAS LED BELIEVE RVROCK ASSISTANCE COULD BE EXPECTED. 2. RE NECESSITY OF CLEARING NAMES PRIOR FORWARDING // OCCUPA		REJECTION OF CASE NOT ACCURATE; WIFE AMSIGH-2 OPINED ALUNSO MICRO. SER.						
AMCALL-1 AND RICARDO MONTERO DUQUE. ACCORDING AMSIGH-2 WIFE, ALONSO REPLIED IN DUE COURSE THAT HE AGREED ACCEPT CASE AMCALL-1 BUT MADE NO MENTION OF MONTERO CASE. SUBSEQUENTLY AMSIGH-2 WIFE TOLD WIFE AMCALL-1 THAT \$20,020 HAD BEEN OFFERED FOR AMCALL-1 AND THAT THE \$2500 EXPENSE MONEY FOR ALONSO MUST BE FORWARDED IMMEDIATELY. ON BASIS REF C AMCALL-1 WIFE WAS LED BELIEVE RVROCK ASSISTANCE COULD BE EXPECTED. 2. RE NECESSITY OF CLEARING NAMES PRIOR FORWARDING // OCLUM		WOULD NOT TAKE CASE DUE HER ASSESSMENT AMCALL-1. IN LETTER						
WIFE, ALONSO REPLIED IN DUE COURSE THAT HE AGREED ACCEPT CASE ANCALL-1 BUT MADE NO MENTION OF MONTERO CASE. SUBSEQUENTLY AMSIGH-2 WIFE TOLD WIFE ANCALL-1 THAT \$20,020 HAD BEEN OFFERED FOR AMCALL-1 AND THAT THE \$2563 EXPENSE MONEY FOR ALONSO MUST BE FORWARDED IMMEDIATELY. ON BASIS REF C AMCALL-1 WIFE WAS LED BELIEVE RVROCK ASSISTANCE COULD BE EXPECTED. 2. RE NECESSITY OF CLEARING NAMES PRIOR FORWARDING // GALLY	0	TO ALONSO 24 SEPTEMBER SHE ASKED IF HE WOULD TAKE CASES OF						
WIFE, ALONSO REPLIED IN DUE COURSE THAT HE AGREED ACCEPT CASE AMCALL-1 BUT MADE NO MENTION OF MONTERO CASE. SUBSEQUENTLY AMSIGH-2 WIFE TOLD WIFE AMCALL-1 THAT \$20,020 HAD BEEN OFFERED FOR AMCALL-1 AND THAT THE \$2563 EXPENSE MONEY FOR ALONSO MUST BE FORWARDED IMMEDIATELY. ON BASIS REF C AMCALL-1 WIFE WAS LED BELIEVE RVROCK ASSISTANCE COULD BE EXPECTED. 2. RE NECESSITY OF CLEARING NAMES PRIOR FORWARDING // Gally	0	AMCALL-1 AND RICARDO MONTERO DUQUE. ACCORDING AMSIGH-2						
CASE AMCALL-1 BUT MADE NO MENTION OF MONTERO CASE. SUBSEQUENTLY AMSIGH-2 WIFE TOLD WIFE AMCALL-1 THAT \$20,000 HAD BEEN OFFERED FOR AMCALL-1 AND THAT THE \$2500 EXPENSE MONEY FOR ALONSO MUST BE FORWARDED IMMEDIATELY. ON BASIS REF C AMCALL-1 WIFE WAS LED BELIEVE RVROCK ASSISTANCE COULD BE EXPECTED. 2. RE NECESSITY OF CLEARING NAMES PRIOR FORWARDING // OCLUP	0							
SUBSEQUENTLY AMSIGH-2 WIFE TOLD WIFE AMCALL-1 THAT \$20,020 HAD BEEN OFFERED FOR AMCALL-1 AND THAT THE \$2503 EXPENSE MONEY FOR ALONSO MUST BE FORWARDED IMMEDIATELY. ON BASIS REF C AMCALL-1 WIFE WAS LED BELIEVE RVROCK ASSISTANCE COULD BE EXPECTED. 2. RE NECESSITY OF CLEARING NAMES PRIOR FORWARDING // Oaly	0	•						
HAD BEEN OFFERED FOR AMCALL-1 AND THAT THE \$2583 EXPENSE MONEY FOR ALONSO MUST BE FORWARDED IMMEDIATELY. ON BASIS REF C AMCALL-1 WIFE WAS LED BELIEVE RVROCK ASSISTANCE COULD BE EXPECTED. 2. RE NECESSITY OF CLEARING NAMES PRIOR FORWARDING /600449	::::							
MONEY FOR ALONSO MUST BE FORWARDED IMMEDIATELY. ON BASIS REF C AMCALL-1 WIFE WAS LED BELIEVE RVROCK ASSISTANCE COULD BE EXPECTED. 2. RE NECESSITY OF CLEARING NAMES PRIOR FORWARDING 1600449	O	· ·						
REF C AMCALL-1 WIFE WAS LED BELIEVE RVROCK ASSISTANCE COULD BE EXPECTED. 2. RE NECESSITY OF CLEARING NAMES PRIOR FORWARDING /6 Galg								
BE EXPECTED. O 2. RE NECESSITY OF CLEARING NAMES PRIOR FORWARDING 160049								
2. RE NECESSITY OF CLEARING NAMES PRIOR FORWARDING 160049	0	* يب ٠						
2. At MECESSITY OF CLEARING NAMES PRIOR FORWARDING 160004	_							
0/2 20.	2. RE NECESSITY OF CLEARING NAMES PRIOR FORWARDING 1600149							
000,201-276949	0/	B, 201-275949						
0B201-312305 (SCC?Y								
SECRET 19-6-VILLE	O'	SECRET 19-6-V3613						

-				• :				
	CABLE SECRETARIAL DISSEMBATION C	,		•	1			
(U.	PERSON UNT NOTIFED	ASSES MESSAGE TOTAL COOKS	ROUT NG ATIOVOR INT					
•		When filled in More and and	[3]	7	- 1			
0		PEPRODUCTION OF THIS COPY APON BITED	- 3	101	7			
	ADVANCE COPYSSUED SLOTTED	INDER I VES I NO	41					
	8Y	CLASSIFY TO FILE NO.	51	1.0.				
0.	AT:	H-MEF TO SILE NO.	-	:				
1	D-55EW BYFER	A		_ (
0	ACTION RID COPY	.Mo	C4 DESTPO	W256	- 1			
		14L va						
0			•		_			
1 -	PAGE 2 WH/MIAMI 5582 S	FCOFT			1			
0	PAGE 2 WH/MIAMI 5582 S E C R E T							
	10 ALONSO, AMSIGH-2 HAS	DETERMINED NOT FORWARD A	NY ADDITIONAL					
0	CASES INTIL CRONCORD HAVE MADE							
	• 1							
1	IN VILLAVERUE AND AMRIP	E-2 CASES, AMSIGH-2 WAS OF	BLIGED ASK		1			
0		NIS ON EXPENSES WHEN SPON			1			
3;;::				- ,				
0		FTER ALONSO HAD AGREED ACC						
Ä	STATION FEELS THAT IF S	PONSORS NEW CASES APPROACH	. AMSTON-O		1			
0					! 1			
]]		Ø EXPENSE MONEY WITHOUT RE		. 3				
0	APPROACH RVROCK THERE L	ITTLE VALID OBJECTION WE (CAN OFFER	2.1				
3	ANSIGH-2 FORWARDING CASES ALONSO. WE CAN, HOWEVER, CONTROL							
	FOREARDING OF CASES WHE	RE ANY APPROACH MADE TO RV	ROCK	·				
0	EITHER FOR PORTION OR A	L OF EXPENSE MONEY OR RAN	SCY OFFER		1			
	MIL CHOP MUICE IN SUCCE	SSFULLY CONCLUDED WITHOUT	OUR		1 "			
0	FINANCIAL PARTICIPATION	WILL ADD SUBSTANCE TO STO	RY THAT		4.			
_	FUNDS BEING RAISED WITH				1			
0								
	3. ONLY IMPEDIMENT	O CONTINUED AMICABLE RELA	TIONSHIP	• .	4			
O	BETVEEN BINIARIS AND AMS	SIGH-2 CONCERNS DOCUMENTAT			1			
	0.000		ION OF		1			
0	CASES. IN AMPANIC-7 CAS	E WE HAVE COPIES OF ORIGI	NAL		1			
		PONSORS AND ALONSO. IN C			***			
0	WANDED BY AVELOUS	TH C	HOED BEING	o The Partie	4			
	MUNICIPED BY WASTON-S ME H	AVE ONLY SEEN TYPEWRITTEN	COPIES OF		1			
0	LETTERS AND CABLES WHICH	HAVE ALLEGEDLY PASSED BE	TWEEN	1 - 3	1			
				0 000 000	1			
0	•		9	3 6 6				
_معند		SECRET		ວັດວິດີເຄື				
					7			

.

. -	<u> </u>	A TOTAL DESIGNATION AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY		****					
0	CATE SECRETARIAT DISSEMINATION (PLASCOUNT NOTIFIED	CLASSIFIED WESSAGE TOTAL COM		NS-08 INT. 23-32PM					
		I was and manner	m urteure 1	7:	22				
0		REPPODUCTION OF THE COPY PRO-	6710						
4.64	SAMES TO THE	INDEX I VES I NO	5	101					
0	N A+. \$-	CLASSIFY TO FILE NO		1101					
		MALF TO FILE NO.							
-	NSSER BYPD	FILE NO CHET TO	8*4\CH 🖸	. DESTPOY 2 5 6	i				
0	RID CCPY	INO FILE VA							
6									
•	PAGE 3 UM/MIANT SEGO C								
0	PAGE 3 WH/MIAMI 5502 S								
	AMSIGH-2 AND ALONSO.	CONSPICULOUSLY ABSENT	IN THIS COR	RES	:				
0	PONDENCE IS ANY MENTION BY ALONSO OF SPECIFIC FIGURE FOR								
EXPENSES. THIS HAS LED SUSPICION THAT DIFFERENCE BETWEEN									
0					;				
	AMPANIC-7 CASE EXPENSE	(\$1522) AND OTHER CAS	ES (\$2560)	YAM					
0	EE "RAKE-OFF" BY AMSIG	K-2. BINIARIS' INSIST	ENCE UPON C	OPIES					
	OR ORIGINAL CORRESPOND				••				
0									
		S THAT TO HIS KNOWLED							
0									
	CASES OTHER THAN AMCALL	-1 AND AMRIPE-2.							
0	5. PONCHAY WILL DIS	CUSS STATION HANDLING	THESE CASES	2	•				
0		ECIATE OFFER TDY ASSI							
	WILL DISCUSS THIS ALSO.		SIANCE; ÇUS						
0									
	6. FILE: 19-6-136/3	. X REF 201-275949, 2	21-312305 .						
0	SECRET			•					
0									
6									
			1.0						
0	•	,	. (7)	•					
					15-4				
3									
		•	\$ *						
0									
	The state of the s	SECRET			j				

14-0000